

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
For CDRU # 55<sup>4</sup> Case file # 91-117025.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

CRIM 481

---

# INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Karen Ann Lanning

Area(s) of Expertise: Wood Examinations

Review commenced at: 8:20 am (Time), 5/22/03 (Date)

File #: 91-117025

Laboratory #(s): 50917050 S RQ VM

50918100 S RQ VM

b6  
b7C

## Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
Michael Malone RQ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: \_\_\_\_\_

Testimony Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Pages: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 50917050 S RQ Date: 12/9/1985

Laboratory Number: 50918100 A RQ Date: 12/9/1985

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Bench Notes of: Michael Malone

Laboratory Number: 50917050 S RQ

50918100 S RQ

Page 1 of 3

Initials: KAL

CRM 481 A

Was any other material reviewed?  Yes  No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

### Results of Review

File #: 91-117025 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine

#### Review of Testimony:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine

Initials: UK

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.  
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 91-117025

1) The notes do not indicate what characteristics were used to determine the species of wood for specimens Q5-Q11, Q16, Q17G, Q17I, Q18 and Q20. Therefore no determination can be made if the appropriate tests were performed.

2) The notes do not support the conclusion in the laboratory report that the species of wood is sugar pine. The notes indicated that the wood contained "pinoid pits" which are not found in sugar pine. Sugar pine has window-like pits.

Review completed at: 9:20 am (Time), 5 / 22 / 2003 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 1 hour

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

*James D. Laird* 5-122-03  
(Signature) (Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: *JDL*

Additional Comments